

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | 1 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/973,792 | 10/11/2001 | | Efraim Berkovich | 902.000/10108288 | 7240 |
| 7590 12/01/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Michael J. Strauss Fulbright & Jaworski, L.L.P. 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20004-2615 | | | | TORRES, JOSEPH D | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2133 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/973,792 | BERKOVICH, EFRAIM | | | | | |
| nance, y nouen | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Joseph D. Torres | 2133 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application of the sapplication of the same of the sam | ation. A proper reply to a | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounth of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension priginally set in the final Office action; or | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of | riod set forth in f the appeal. | | | | | |
| | | NOTE but I | | | | | |
| (a) | | see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| | • | atallia manda atau arang barante da ar | | | | | |
| issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | - · | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | parate, timely filed amendment | | | | | |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | reconsideration has been consideration. | dered but does NOT place the | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY to | o issues which were newly | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo | ☐ will be entered and an w or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 13 and 14. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>6,7,19 and 20</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,15-26</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by the | ne Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Joseph B. Torres, PhD Primary Examiner | | | | | |
| 2.0-1 | | Art Unit: 2133 | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 7





Continuation of 2. NOTE: Substantial changes that have not been previously considered and that require a new search have been made to claim 1..